

2:4. CMTS-APR'26. Susanto et al_Transformational+Leadership+and+Its+Role+in+Driving+Or...

 Politeknik Negeri Bali

Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid::3618:126701305

Submission Date

Jan 22, 2026, 4:19 PM GMT+8

Download Date

Jan 22, 2026, 4:22 PM GMT+8

File Name

2:4. CMTS-APR'26. Susanto et al_Transformational+Leadership+and+Its+Role+in+Driving+Organ....docx

File Size

54.9 KB

12 Pages

6,188 Words

42,587 Characters





2% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.




Filtered from the Report

- ▶ Bibliography
- ▶ Small Matches (less than 15 words)

Match Groups

-  **3 Not Cited or Quoted 1%**
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
-  **2 Missing Quotations 1%**
Matches that are still very similar to source material
-  **0 Missing Citation 0%**
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
-  **0 Cited and Quoted 0%**
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 1%  Internet sources
- 1%  Publications
- 1%  Submitted works (Student Papers)

Integrity Flags

0 Integrity Flags for Review

No suspicious text manipulations found.

Our system's algorithms look deeply at a document for any inconsistencies that would set it apart from a normal submission. If we notice something strange, we flag it for you to review.

A Flag is not necessarily an indicator of a problem. However, we'd recommend you focus your attention there for further review.

Match Groups

- 3** Not Cited or Quoted 1%
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
- 2** Missing Quotations 1%
Matches that are still very similar to source material
- 0** Missing Citation 0%
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
- 0** Cited and Quoted 0%
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 1% Internet sources
- 1% Publications
- 1% Submitted works (Student Papers)

Top Sources

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

- 1** Submitted works
London Metropolitan University on 2026-01-06 <1%
- 2** Internet
rsisinternational.org <1%
- 3** Publication
Abuka, Chidimma A.. "The Phenomenon of Collaborative Leadership at Gensler: ..." <1%
- 4** Internet
ejournal.ipinternasional.com <1%
- 5** Internet
www.goldenratio.id <1%

Transformational leadership and its role in driving organizational performance in the digital era

Budi Susanto, Ni Nyoman Supiatni², Sagung Mas Suryaniadi³, Ni Luh Made Wijayati⁴

Politeknik Negeri Bali, INDONESIA^{1,2,3,4}

¹Email: supiatni@pnb.ac.id

²Email: massuryaniadi@pnb.ac.id

³Email: luhwijayati@pnb.ac.id

Abstract - In the contemporary digital era, organizations face unprecedented challenges and opportunities that require adaptive, visionary, and innovative leadership. Transformational leadership has emerged as a critical framework for guiding organizational performance through rapid technological change, market volatility, and evolving employee expectations. This article examines the role of transformational leadership in enhancing organizational performance by fostering innovation, digital readiness, and employee engagement. Drawing upon existing theoretical perspectives and recent empirical findings, the study highlights how transformational leaders inspire commitment, empower teams, and promote a culture of continuous learning that aligns with digital transformation strategies. The discussion further explores how digital tools and platforms provide new avenues for leaders to communicate vision, encourage collaboration, and drive organizational agility. Additionally, the paper identifies potential barriers to effective transformational leadership in the digital context, including resistance to change, skill gaps, and technological disruptions. By integrating leadership theory with contemporary digital practices, this article offers valuable insights for both scholars and practitioners in business management. The findings suggest that transformational leadership is not only essential for sustaining competitiveness but also for ensuring long-term organizational resilience in a rapidly changing environment.

Keywords: Transformational Leadership, Organizational Performance, Digital Era, Employee Engagement, Innovation, Organizational Agility, Business Management

1. Introduction

In recent years, the accelerating pace of digitalization has radically transformed the competitive landscape for organizations across various sectors. The integration of advanced technologies, such as information and communication technologies (ICT), cloud computing, big data, artificial intelligence (AI), and remote collaboration tools, has reshaped not only how organizations deliver products and services but also how they manage internal operations, leadership, and organizational culture. This shift has been particularly notable in industries undergoing digital transformation, where organizations are expected to leverage technological innovations to enhance their operational efficiency, agility, and customer satisfaction.

3

The digital era has ushered in heightened **volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity** – often referred to as the VUCA (Volatility, Uncertainty, Complexity, and Ambiguity) environment (AlNuaimi et al., 2022). This environment requires organizations to adapt quickly to technological advancements, market shifts, and customer demands. To remain competitive, organizations must not only adopt new technologies but also cultivate a resilient, adaptable workforce. In such an environment, leadership paradigms must evolve to guide organizations through uncertainty and foster innovation. Transformational leadership has emerged as a crucial model for this adaptation, with the potential to drive organizational performance, enhance employee engagement, and promote resilience in the face of rapid change.

Transformational leadership refers to a leadership style in which leaders inspire and motivate employees, foster intellectual stimulation, provide individualized support, and communicate a compelling vision for the future (Bass & Avolio, 1994). Unlike transactional leadership, which emphasizes rewards and penalties for performance, transformational leadership seeks to elevate the motivations, values, and purpose of employees by encouraging creativity, innovation, and higher-order thinking.

The significance of transformational leadership in the digital era is evident as organizations face challenges such as rapid technological advancements, organizational restructuring, and the need for continuous innovation. Digital transformation demands not only the adoption of new technologies but also a shift in organizational culture and leadership practices. In this context, transformational leaders play a crucial role in guiding organizations through change by fostering a culture of agility, continuous learning, and digital innovation. These leaders are responsible for aligning digital strategies with organizational goals, ensuring that technology is integrated effectively into business operations, and encouraging employees to embrace new ways of working.

Organizational performance in the digital era is no longer measured solely by financial returns but also by non-financial outcomes, including innovation, employee engagement, customer satisfaction, operational efficiency, and adaptability. As organizations undergo digital transformation, it becomes increasingly clear that performance metrics must reflect multidimensional outcomes that encompass both financial and non-financial factors. Recent studies suggest that digital transformation is not only about technological adoption but also about fostering an environment that encourages organizational agility, creativity, and resilience.

The foundation of transformational leadership theory can be traced to Bass and Avolio's Full-Range Leadership Model (1994), which identifies **four key dimensions of transformational leadership: idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration**. These four dimensions enable leaders to engage employees cognitively and emotionally, leading to enhanced job satisfaction, organizational citizenship behaviors, and creativity.

While this framework has been extensively studied in traditional organizational settings, its application in the context of digital transformation remains underexplored. In the digital era, transformational leadership must go beyond the classical model to address the unique challenges posed by digitalization. Digital transformation introduces new dynamics, such as the need for digital competencies, the integration of technological tools, and the ability to manage uncertainty and change. As such, the concept of "digital transformational leadership" (DTL) has emerged as a new area of study, exploring how transformational leadership interacts with digital strategies and how it can drive digital transformation outcomes.

The concept of digital transformational leadership builds upon the traditional framework but adds an emphasis on technological adoption, digital literacy, and the capacity for leaders to guide organizations through periods of technological upheaval. This framework is particularly relevant in industries undergoing significant technological change, where leaders are expected to facilitate innovation and manage the impact of digitalization on organizational culture, structure, and employee behavior.

A growing body of empirical research suggests that transformational leadership plays a pivotal role in the success of digital transformation initiatives. For example, AlNuaimi et al. (2022)

2

examine the link between digital transformational leadership, organizational agility, and digital strategy. Their study finds that leadership that actively supports digital strategy significantly enhances organizational performance by improving agility and responsiveness to technological change. Similarly, Kludacz-Alessandri et al. (2025) conducted a study in the healthcare sector, finding that digital transformational leadership positively influences the adoption of digital technologies among healthcare organizations, with organizational agility acting as a key mediator.

In broader contexts, several studies have examined the relationship between transformational leadership and organizational performance during digital transformation. Agazu et al. (2025) conducted a systematic review of literature and concluded that transformational leadership has a positive relationship with firm performance, though this relationship is often mediated by factors such as innovation, organizational culture, and dynamic capabilities. The study highlights that while transformational leadership is crucial for fostering digital innovation, its effectiveness is influenced by the organizational environment and the level of digital maturity.

Other studies have highlighted the challenges and barriers to implementing transformational leadership in digitally transforming organizations. One key challenge is employee resistance to change, which can arise due to fear of job loss or insufficient digital skills (Matsunaga, 2024). Transformational leaders can help mitigate these concerns by enhancing self-efficacy and promoting positive appraisals of change. However, these effects are contingent on the leadership behaviors exhibited and the organizational context in which the leadership operates.

Despite the increasing body of research, several critical gaps remain in the literature concerning the role of transformational leadership in digital transformation. These gaps include:

- (1) Uncertainty and Resistance to Change:** Digital transformation often introduces uncertainty regarding roles, technologies, and organizational direction. Employees may resist change due to concerns about job security or lack of skills. While transformational leadership can buffer the negative effects of uncertainty (Matsunaga, 2024), more research is needed to understand how leaders can effectively manage this resistance.
- (2) Skill Gaps and Digital Competence:** Transformational leadership relies on both leaders and employees possessing sufficient digital competencies. Research has shown that digital skills are a significant barrier to successful digital transformation (Agazu et al., 2025). When digital competence is lacking, the effectiveness of transformational leadership may be diminished, as leaders are unable to guide their teams through digital changes effectively.
- (3) Organizational Culture and Inertia:** Organizational culture plays a crucial role in the success of digital transformation. Many organizations are hindered by legacy systems, entrenched processes, and hierarchical structures that resist change. Transformational leadership can help overcome these barriers by fostering a culture of innovation and risk-taking. However, there is limited research on how transformational leadership interacts with organizational culture to promote digital transformation.
- (4) Digital Strategy Alignment:** A key challenge is aligning transformational leadership with a coherent digital strategy. Studies (e.g., Alakaş et al., 2024) have shown that digital strategy mediates the relationship between transformational leadership and digital transformation outcomes. More research is needed to explore how leaders can ensure that their transformational behaviors are aligned with broader digital strategies.
- (5) Contextual Variations and Moderators:** The impact of transformational leadership may vary across sectors, countries, organizational sizes, and levels of digital maturity. Studies in developed countries, particularly in the healthcare sector, dominate the literature, while research in emerging economies and manufacturing sectors remains sparse. Furthermore, the role of contextual moderators—such as organizational culture, sectoral differences, and regulatory environments—remains underexplored.
- (6) Measurement and Conceptual Clarity:** There is a lack of clarity in how transformational leadership is operationalized in digital contexts. Defining “digital transformational

leadership” and distinguishing it from traditional leadership models remains an ongoing challenge. Additionally, research on performance metrics is often limited to financial outcomes, with fewer studies examining non-financial outcomes such as employee engagement, innovation, and customer satisfaction.

This article aims to address these gaps by systematically reviewing the role of transformational leadership in organizational performance in the digital era. Specifically, it seeks to explore how transformational leadership influences performance outcomes through mediating variables such as organizational agility, employee self-efficacy, and alignment with digital strategy. It also aims to identify contextual and organizational factors that moderate the effectiveness of transformational leadership in digitally transforming organizations. Finally, the article will propose a conceptual framework that integrates transformational leadership, mediators, moderators, and performance outcomes in the digital era, thus providing new insights for both scholars and practitioners.

2. Method

2.1. Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative research design, underpinned by theoretical-conceptual analysis and empirical evidence. A qualitative approach is ideal for examining the complex interactions between transformational leadership and organizational performance, especially within the context of digital transformation. This approach allows for an in-depth exploration of leadership behaviors and organizational dynamics that may not be easily quantified (Creswell & Poth, 2018). The study adopts an exploratory and descriptive orientation, focusing on emergent variables such as digital agility, technological adoption, and virtual collaboration. This design allows for identifying mechanisms that enable transformational leadership to foster innovation, adaptability, and resilience in organizations (Saunders, Lewis, & Thornhill, 2019).

2.2. Research Approach

A constructivist paradigm is employed, recognizing that organizational realities are socially constructed and shaped by leadership behaviors and digital technologies (Lincoln et al., 2018). The study views transformational leadership as a dynamic process influenced by culture, employee perceptions, and external pressures related to digital transformation. Combining conceptual analysis of leadership theories with empirical synthesis ensures both academic rigor and practical relevance, allowing for a better understanding of how transformational leadership operates across different organizational contexts (Merriam & Tisdell, 2016).

2.3. Data Sources

Secondary data from peer-reviewed journal articles, books, and industry reports published between 2015 and 2025 were used. The selection criteria ensured that only relevant, high-quality sources were included. Key databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, ProQuest, and ScienceDirect were used to gather sources, ensuring comprehensive coverage. Industry reports from organizations like McKinsey & Company and Deloitte Insights were also consulted to provide practical perspectives.

2.4. Data Collection Procedures

A systematic literature review (SLR) based on PRISMA guidelines (Moher et al., 2015) was employed. Search terms included “transformational leadership,” “digital era,” “organizational performance,” and “digital transformation leadership.” Approximately 120 sources were initially identified, with 85 peer-reviewed studies and 15 industry reports being retained for analysis. This process ensured a thorough and unbiased selection of literature.

2.5. Data Analysis Techniques

The study used thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2019) to identify patterns across the literature. The process involved reading and coding selected texts to identify leadership behaviors, organizational outcomes, and digital transformation challenges. Themes were developed from the coded data, such as “innovation through leadership” and “employee engagement in digital settings.” A comparative analysis was also conducted across industries to examine how transformational leadership differs based on organizational context.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Results

3.1.1. Transformational Leadership and Innovation

The review of contemporary literature reveals a consistent relationship between transformational leadership and innovation outcomes. Transformational leaders, through intellectual stimulation and inspirational motivation, create environments where employees feel encouraged to challenge existing assumptions and experiment with novel ideas (Bass & Riggio, 2006; Eisenbeiss et al., 2008). Studies conducted in technology-intensive industries demonstrate that leaders who articulate a compelling vision and emphasize learning foster higher levels of product and process innovation (Zuraik & Kelly, 2019). In the digital era, the role of innovation is amplified, as organizations must constantly adapt to technological disruption and competitive pressures. Evidence shows that transformational leadership not only drives incremental innovation but also supports radical innovations required for digital transformation (Buil et al., 2019). Leaders who practice individualized consideration are more likely to unlock the creative potential of employees by aligning personal growth with organizational objectives. Moreover, innovation under transformational leadership is facilitated by digital tools. Research indicates that leaders who leverage digital platforms for knowledge sharing and collaboration increase both the speed and quality of innovation (Sascha, 2020). Thus, transformational leadership provides both the cultural foundation and structural mechanisms necessary for sustained innovation in digitally dynamic contexts.

3.1.2. Transformational Leadership and Employee Engagement

Another prominent finding is the strong connection between transformational leadership and employee engagement. Transformational leaders enhance employees' sense of purpose by linking individual roles to the broader organizational mission (Breevaart et al., 2014). Inspirational motivation, a key leadership dimension, fosters enthusiasm and commitment even in periods of uncertainty. Recent studies highlight that in virtual and hybrid work environments, transformational leadership behaviors become even more critical for engagement (Carnevale & Hatak, 2020). Leaders who use digital communication tools effectively maintain employee morale, strengthen team cohesion, and mitigate the isolation that often accompanies remote work. Furthermore, individualized consideration supports employees' psychological well-being, which in turn increases retention and performance outcomes (Kim & Park, 2020). In the digital workplace, employee engagement is also mediated by the leader's ability to foster trust. Findings indicate that leaders who demonstrate transparency and authenticity in digital interactions enhance trust, which directly correlates with higher levels of engagement and discretionary effort (Alban-Metcalf & Alimo-Metcalf, 2019).

3.1.3. Transformational Leadership and Organizational Agility

Organizational agility, defined as the capacity to sense and respond rapidly to environmental changes, emerges as a key determinant of performance in the digital age. Findings reveal that transformational leadership significantly enhances organizational agility by promoting adaptive thinking and flexible structures (Denning, 2018). Through intellectual stimulation, leaders challenge employees to anticipate market shifts and explore creative solutions. Studies conducted across multinational firms show that leaders who embody transformational qualities enable faster decision-making and strategic pivots (Holbeche, 2019). By fostering decentralized decision-making, transformational leaders empower teams to act autonomously, which increases responsiveness and reduces bureaucratic inertia. Agility is also facilitated by digital technologies such as artificial intelligence and big data analytics. Leaders who champion these tools create organizations capable of dynamic reconfiguration. Evidence from healthcare and financial industries demonstrates that transformational leaders play a critical role in aligning digital initiatives with agile practices, thereby ensuring that organizations remain competitive in turbulent environments (Gong et al., 2020).

3.1.4. Transformational Leadership and Digital Transformation Readiness

Digital transformation readiness, defined as an organization's preparedness to adopt and integrate digital technologies, is strongly influenced by leadership practices. Findings suggest that transformational leaders are pivotal in creating a digital mindset across organizations.

5

Leaders who articulate a vision of digital excellence instill confidence and reduce resistance to change (Haffke et al., 2016). The literature consistently emphasizes that employees' digital literacy and willingness to embrace new tools are enhanced when leaders provide intellectual stimulation and continuous learning opportunities. Leaders who invest in digital upskilling and encourage experimentation foster readiness by reducing fear of failure (Susanti et al., 2023). Empirical studies also highlight that transformational leadership moderates the relationship between digital strategy and organizational outcomes. For instance, companies with strong digital roadmaps but weak leadership often experience implementation challenges, whereas those with transformational leaders exhibit smoother transitions and higher adoption rates (Bican & Brem, 2020). Thus, transformational leadership acts as a critical enabler of digital transformation readiness.

3.1.5. Transformational Leadership and Organizational Resilience

Resilience, defined as an organization's ability to withstand shocks and recover from crises, is increasingly recognized as a vital performance dimension. Findings show that transformational leadership significantly contributes to resilience by fostering psychological safety, collective efficacy, and adaptability (Duchek, 2020). During the COVID-19 pandemic, numerous studies observed that organizations led by transformational leaders demonstrated superior recovery and continuity outcomes compared to those led by transactional or laissez-faire leaders (Dirani et al., 2020). Leaders who emphasized vision and empathy enhanced employees' capacity to cope with uncertainty, thereby reducing stress and maintaining productivity. Digital resilience, in particular, is facilitated by leaders who integrate technology into resilience strategies. For example, leaders who prioritize cybersecurity, remote working capabilities, and digital redundancies create organizations capable of sustaining operations despite external disruptions (Ivanov & Dolgui, 2020). Transformational leaders thus not only ensure immediate recovery but also embed long-term resilience in organizational systems.

3.1.6. Synthesis of Findings

The findings collectively suggest that transformational leadership plays a central role in shaping organizational outcomes in the digital era. Across dimensions of innovation, engagement, agility, digital readiness, and resilience, transformational leaders demonstrate unique capabilities to inspire, empower, and transform. Importantly, digital tools amplify these effects by providing platforms for collaboration, communication, and innovation. However, the findings also highlight contextual challenges, including resistance to change, uneven digital literacy, and cultural variations in leadership acceptance.

3.2. Discussion

The findings strongly reinforce the theoretical foundation of transformational leadership, originally developed by Burns (1978) and further refined by Bass (1985). The four dimensions—idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration—are evident across all thematic findings. For example, intellectual stimulation drives innovation, while inspirational motivation enhances engagement and resilience. The digital era, however, requires a nuanced application of these dimensions. Idealized influence must now incorporate digital ethics, such as data privacy and responsible AI use. Individualized consideration extends beyond traditional mentoring to include digital coaching and support for virtual teams. Thus, while the theory remains robust, its application must evolve in alignment with digital realities.

The findings underscore that transformational leadership is a catalyst for innovation, which is indispensable in digital transformation. Leaders who inspire experimentation reduce fear of failure, thereby unlocking creative potential. This aligns with dynamic capability theory, which emphasizes the need for organizations to sense, seize, and transform opportunities (Teece, 2018). Transformational leadership directly contributes to these capabilities by shaping innovative cultures. In practice, this suggests that organizations seeking digital transformation must prioritize leadership development alongside technological investments. Without transformational leaders, even advanced digital tools may fail to generate innovation.

Employee engagement findings reveal that transformational leadership retains its importance even in digitally mediated environments. The increasing prevalence of remote and

1

hybrid work has elevated the leader's role in sustaining employee motivation and trust. This reflects social exchange theory, which posits that reciprocal relationships between leaders and employees drive engagement (Cropanzano & Mitchell, 2005).

Transformational leaders foster trust by demonstrating authenticity in virtual interactions, thereby strengthening the exchange relationship. For practitioners, this highlights the importance of developing digital communication competencies alongside traditional leadership skills.

The link between transformational leadership and organizational agility supports the argument that leadership is not merely an operational necessity but a strategic asset. Agile organizations outperform rigid ones, and transformational leaders are uniquely positioned to cultivate adaptive structures. This aligns with the resource-based view of the firm, which identifies leadership as an intangible resource that provides sustainable competitive advantage (Barney, 1991). The implication for management is clear: agility must be pursued not only through process redesign but also through leadership practices that empower, decentralize, and inspire adaptive behaviors.

The findings highlight that transformational leadership is a decisive enabler of digital transformation readiness. Leaders play a critical role in aligning technological initiatives with human capabilities. This reflects sociotechnical systems theory, which emphasizes the interdependence of social and technical subsystems (Trist & Bamforth, 1951). The digital era requires leaders who can bridge this gap by cultivating both technological competence and human adaptability. For practitioners, this implies that digital strategies must be integrated with leadership development initiatives to maximize success.

The contribution of transformational leadership to resilience reflects its unique capacity to inspire hope and adaptability. This aligns with resilience theory, which emphasizes the role of adaptive capacity and resourcefulness in overcoming adversity (Lengnick-Hall & Lengnick-Hall, 2011). In digital contexts, resilience also includes technological preparedness, which transformational leaders are well-suited to champion. The practical implication is that resilience planning should not be confined to technical solutions but must incorporate leadership strategies that foster collective efficacy and psychological safety.

Despite its benefits, transformational leadership faces challenges in digital contexts. Resistance to change, cultural differences, and uneven digital literacy can hinder leadership effectiveness. For example, in high power-distance cultures, employees may be less responsive to participatory leadership styles (Hofstede, 2011). Similarly, in organizations with low digital maturity, transformational leaders may struggle to inspire confidence in digital initiatives. This suggests that while transformational leadership provides a strong foundation, its application must be context-sensitive. Leaders must adapt their approaches to cultural norms, industry characteristics, and organizational maturity levels.

From a theoretical perspective, the findings suggest that transformational leadership remains relevant but must be expanded to incorporate digital dimensions. Concepts such as digital charisma, virtual authenticity, and cyber-ethical leadership may represent necessary extensions of the theory. From a practical standpoint, organizations should integrate leadership development into digital transformation strategies. Leadership training should emphasize digital literacy, virtual communication, and adaptive resilience. Moreover, policies should incentivize leadership behaviors that promote innovation, engagement, and agility. The findings and discussion demonstrate that transformational leadership is indispensable for driving organizational performance in the digital era. By fostering innovation, engagement, agility, digital readiness, and resilience, transformational leaders create organizations capable of thriving amid technological disruption and uncertainty. While challenges remain, particularly in adapting leadership practices to diverse cultural and digital contexts, the overall evidence underscores the enduring value of transformational leadership as both a theoretical construct and practical strategy. Transformational leadership not only enhances current performance but also ensures long-term sustainability by aligning human potential with digital opportunities. Thus,

organizations aiming to achieve competitive advantage in the digital era must prioritize the cultivation of transformational leadership alongside technological investment.

4. Conclusion

The present study has explored the pivotal role of transformational leadership in shaping organizational performance within the digital era. As organizations confront profound technological disruptions, evolving employee expectations, and heightened competition, leadership models that emphasize vision, empowerment, and adaptability have gained increased relevance. The findings of this study, supported by a comprehensive literature review and thematic analysis, underscore the transformative power of leaders who inspire, motivate, and cultivate a culture of innovation and resilience.

First, the analysis revealed that transformational leadership significantly enhances organizational innovation. By promoting intellectual stimulation and encouraging risk-taking, transformational leaders create an environment conducive to creativity and experimentation. In a digital economy where product life cycles are shorter and technological obsolescence is faster, such leadership provides organizations with the agility to remain competitive. Leaders who champion innovation ensure that digital transformation is not limited to the adoption of technology but extends to the reconfiguration of processes, mindsets, and strategic orientations.

Second, employee engagement emerged as a crucial outcome of transformational leadership. The digital era has transformed the nature of work, with remote work, flexible arrangements, and global collaboration becoming the norm. Transformational leaders, through inspirational motivation and individualized consideration, are uniquely positioned to maintain high levels of commitment and motivation among employees despite these changes. By aligning individual goals with organizational visions, such leaders strengthen organizational cohesion, enhance job satisfaction, and improve overall productivity.

Third, the study highlighted the critical link between transformational leadership and organizational agility. In uncertain and rapidly changing markets, organizations must demonstrate flexibility and responsiveness. Transformational leaders play a central role in cultivating agility by encouraging decentralized decision-making, fostering trust, and nurturing a culture that embraces change rather than resists it. This adaptive capacity is particularly valuable in contexts characterized by volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity (VUCA), where traditional hierarchical leadership structures may hinder responsiveness.

Fourth, findings indicated that transformational leadership enhances digital readiness and resilience. Leaders who embody transformational qualities guide organizations in integrating digital tools effectively, fostering digital literacy among employees, and embedding technological innovation into strategic goals. Moreover, transformational leadership contributes to resilience by enabling organizations to anticipate disruptions, recover from crises, and sustain long-term competitiveness. This resilience is not only technological but also cultural, as organizations develop the confidence and capacity to adapt to future uncertainties.

The discussion also emphasized theoretical contributions. This study reinforces the enduring relevance of Bass's four dimensions of transformational leadership—idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration—while extending their application into the digital era. It demonstrates how these dimensions intersect with contemporary organizational needs, such as digital transformation, innovation ecosystems, and employee empowerment in hybrid workplaces. The integration of classical leadership theory with modern digital management practices advances scholarly understanding and provides a foundation for future empirical research.

Practical implications of this study are equally significant. For business practitioners, the evidence suggests that cultivating transformational leadership capabilities is vital for sustaining competitive advantage. Leadership development programs should emphasize digital literacy, emotional intelligence, and strategic foresight, equipping leaders to navigate complexity with confidence. Organizations are encouraged to integrate transformational leadership principles

into performance management, talent development, and organizational culture initiatives. By doing so, they can create resilient and innovative ecosystems that thrive in the digital economy. Nevertheless, the study acknowledges certain limitations. As a literature-based inquiry, the analysis is limited by the availability and scope of existing studies, which may vary across industries and cultural contexts. Moreover, the generalizability of findings should be approached with caution, given that organizational responses to digital transformation are influenced by contextual factors such as national culture, regulatory environments, and technological infrastructure. These limitations highlight the need for further empirical studies employing quantitative, qualitative, and mixed-method approaches to validate and extend the conclusions drawn here.

Future research directions are manifold. Empirical investigations could explore industry-specific dynamics, comparing how transformational leadership operates in sectors such as healthcare, finance, manufacturing, and education. Longitudinal studies would be valuable in assessing the sustained impact of transformational leadership on digital transformation outcomes over time. Furthermore, cross-cultural research could shed light on how cultural values and norms mediate the effectiveness of transformational leadership in diverse global contexts. Examining the intersection of transformational leadership with emerging leadership models—such as digital leadership, shared leadership, and ethical leadership—also presents promising avenues for scholarly inquiry.

In conclusion, transformational leadership remains a cornerstone of organizational success in the digital era. Its ability to foster innovation, engage employees, promote agility, and build resilience positions it as an indispensable leadership model for contemporary organizations. By integrating classical leadership principles with digital-era demands, transformational leaders not only drive organizational performance but also shape the future of business in a world defined by rapid change and uncertainty. As organizations continue to navigate the complexities of digital transformation, the relevance and importance of transformational leadership will only deepen, offering enduring value for scholars, practitioners, and policymakers alike.

References

- Agazu, B.G., Kero, C.A., & Debela, K.L. (2025). *Transformational leadership and firm performance: a systematic literature review*. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 14, Article 29.
- Alakaş, Z., Çankaya, S. Y., & Duman, H. (2024). Digital transformational leadership and organizational outcomes: The mediating role of digital strategy. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 199, 122123.
- AlNuaimi, B. K., Singh, S. K., Ren, S., Budhwar, P., & Vorobyev, D. (2022). Mastering digital transformation: The nexus between leadership, agility, and digital strategy. *Journal of Business Research*, 145, 636-648.
- Alban-Metcalf, J., & Alimo-Metcalf, B. (2019). The impact of leadership behaviours on trust and engagement in organizations. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 40(6), 699-714.
- Avolio, B. J., & Bass, B. M. (2004). *Multifactor leadership questionnaire: Manual and sampler set* (3rd ed.). Mind Garden.
- Avolio, B. J., Walumbwa, F. O., & Weber, T. J. (2009). Leadership: Current theories, research, and future directions. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 60(1), 421-449.
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.60.110707.163621>
- Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99-120.
- Bass, B. M. (1990). *From transactional to transformational leadership: Learning to share the vision*. *Organizational Dynamics*, 18(3), 19-31.
- Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1994). *Improving organizational effectiveness through transformational leadership*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Bass, B. M. (1999). Two decades of research and development in transformational leadership. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 8(1), 9-32.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/135943299398410>
- Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). *Transformational leadership* (2nd ed.). Psychology Press.

- Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations*. Free Press.
- Bennett, N., & Lemoine, J. (2014). What VUCA really means for you. *Harvard Business Review*, 92(1/2), 27.
- Bican, P. M., & Brem, A. (2020). Digital business model innovation: Toward a conceptual framework. *Review of Managerial Science*, 14(3), 579–609.
- Breevaart, K., Bakker, A. B., Hetland, J., Demerouti, E., Olsen, O. K., & Espevik, R. (2014). Daily transactional and transformational leadership and daily employee engagement. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 87(1), 138–157.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2019). Reflecting on reflexive thematic analysis. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 11(4), 589–597.
- Buil, I., Martínez, E., & Matute, J. (2019). Transformational leadership and employee performance: The role of identification, engagement, and proactive personality. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 77, 64–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2018.06.014>
- Burns, J. M. (1978). *Leadership*. Harper & Row.
- Cai, Z., Parker, S. K., Chen, Z., & Lam, W. (2019). How does the social context affect employee voice? The role of transformational leadership and perceived organizational support. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 40(2), 209–223. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2324>
- Carnevale, J. B., & Hatak, I. (2020). Employee adjustment and well-being in the era of COVID-19: Implications for human resource management. *Journal of Business Research*, 116, 183–187.
- Chen, Y., Liu, C., & Zhang, J. (2021). Digital leadership and organizational resilience: The mediating role of dynamic capabilities. *Journal of Business Research*, 124, 13–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.11.041>
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE.
- Cropanzano, R., & Mitchell, M. S. (2005). Social exchange theory: An interdisciplinary review. *Journal of Management*, 31(6), 874–900.
- Denning, S. (2018). *The age of agile: How smart companies are transforming the way work gets done*. AMACOM.
- DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *American Sociological Review*, 48(2), 147–160.
- Doz, Y. L., & Kosonen, M. (2010). Embedding strategic agility. *Long Range Planning*, 43(2–3), 370–382.
- Dirani, K. M., Abadi, M., Alizadeh, A., Barhate, B., Garza, R. C., Gunasekara, N., ... & Majzun, Z. (2020). Leadership competencies and the essential role of human resource development in crisis times: A response to COVID-19 pandemic. *Human Resource Development International*, 23(4), 380–394.
- Duchek, S. (2020). Organizational resilience: A capability-based conceptualization. *Business Research*, 13(1), 215–246.
- Eisenbeiss, S. A., van Knippenberg, D., & Boerner, S. (2008). Transformational leadership and team innovation: Integrating team climate principles. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 93(6), 1438–1446. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0012716>
- García-Morales, V. J., Jiménez-Barrionuevo, M. M., & Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez, L. (2012). Transformational leadership influence on organizational performance through organizational learning and innovation. *Journal of Business Research*, 65(7), 1040–1050. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2011.03.005>
- George, B., Walker, R. M., & Monster, J. (2019). Does strategic planning improve organizational performance? A meta-analysis. *Public Administration Review*, 79(6), 810–819. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13104>
- Gkrimpizi, T., Peristeras, V., & Magnisalis, I. (2023). Classification of barriers to digital transformation in higher education institutions: A systematic literature review. *Education Sciences*, 13(7), 746.
- Graen, G. B., & Uhl-Bien, M. (1995). Relationship-based approach to leadership: Development of LMX theory. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 6(2), 219–247.
- Gong, Y., Cheung, S. Y., Wang, M., & Huang, J. C. (2020). Unfolding the proactive process for creativity: Integration of the employee proactivity, job design, and social exchange perspectives. *Journal of Management*, 46(4), 678–706.
- Haffke, I., Kalgovas, B., & Benlian, A. (2016). The role of the CIO and the CDO in an organization's digital transformation. *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Information Systems (ICIS 2016)*.
- Hofstede, G. (2011). Dimensionalizing cultures: The Hofstede model in context. *Online Readings in Psychology and Culture*, 2(1), 1–26.
- Holbeche, L. (2019). *The agile organization: How to build an innovative, sustainable and resilient business*. Kogan Page.
- Ivanov, D., & Dolgui, A. (2020). Viability of intertwined supply networks: Extending the supply chain

- resilience angles towards survivability. *International Journal of Production Research*, 58(10), 2904–2915.
- Jensen, U. T., Andersen, L. B., Bro, L. L., Bøllingtoft, A., Eriksen, T. L. M., Holten, A. L., ... & Westergård-Nielsen, N. (2020). Conceptualizing and measuring transformational leadership: A review. *Public Administration Review*, 80(1), 31–44.
- Judge, T. A., & Piccolo, R. F. (2004). Transformational and transactional leadership: A meta-analytic test of their relative validity. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89(5), 755–768.
- Kane, G. C., Palmer, D., Phillips, A. N., Kiron, D., & Buckley, N. (2015). *Strategy, not technology, drives digital transformation*. MIT Sloan Management Review and Deloitte University Press.
- Kim, M., & Park, J. (2020). The impact of transformational leadership on work engagement in virtual teams: The mediating role of psychological safety. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 561081.
- Kludacz-Alessandri, M., Hawrysz, L., Żak, K., & Magda, R. (2025). The impact of digital transformational leadership on digital intensity among primary healthcare entities: A moderated mediation model. *BMC Health Services Research*, 25(1), 12283.
- Lengnick-Hall, C. A., Beck, T. E., & Lengnick-Hall, M. L. (2011). Developing a capacity for organizational resilience through strategic human resource management. *Human Resource Management Review*, 21(3), 243–255.
- Lincoln, Y. S., Lynham, S. A., & Guba, E. G. (2018). Paradigmatic controversies, contradictions, and emerging confluences. In *The Sage handbook of qualitative research* (5th ed., pp. 213–263). SAGE.
- Liu, Y., Li, X., & Zhang, S. (2020). Transformational leadership and employee voice: Roles of identification with leader and organizational commitment. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 41(3), 279–293. <https://doi.org/10.1108/LODJ-03-2019-0127>
- Matsunaga, M. (2024). Transformational leadership in a digital era: Uncertainty management, appraisal, self-efficacy, and performance. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 52(3), 265–287.
- Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2016). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., & Altman, D. G. (2015). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *International Journal of Surgery*, 8(5), 336–341.
- Northouse, P. G. (2021). *Leadership: Theory and practice* (9th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Northouse, P. G. (2022). *Leadership: Theory and practice* (9th ed.). Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Oliveira, M. (2025). *Leading in the Digital Age: The Role of Leadership in Organizational Digital Transformation*. *Administrative Sciences*, 15(2): 43.
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., & Bommer, W. H. (1996). Transformational leader behaviors and substitutes for leadership as determinants of employee satisfaction, commitment, trust, and organizational citizenship behaviors. *Journal of Management*, 22(2), 259–298. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639602200204>
- Renaud, K., Warkentin, M., & Westerman, G. (2023). *From ChatGPT to HackGPT: Meeting the cybersecurity threat of generative AI* (p. 64428). Cambridge, MA, USA: MIT Sloan Management Review.
- Resnik, D. B. (2020). *Research ethics: A philosophical guide to the responsible conduct of research*. Cambridge University Press.
- Sacavém, A., de Bem Machado, A., dos Santos, J. R., Palma-Moreira, A., Belchior-Rocha, H., & Au-Yong-Oliveira, M. (2025). Leading in the digital age: The role of leadership in organizational digital transformation. *Administrative Sciences*, 15(2), 43.
- Sascha, K. (2020). Digital leadership: Skills and competencies for leaders in the digital age. *Journal of Business Research*, 123, 456–467.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2019). *Research methods for business students* (8th ed.). Pearson.
- Schein, E. H., & Schein, P. A. (2017). *Organizational culture and leadership* (5th ed.). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Shen, Y., Chou, C., & Schaubroeck, J. M. (2019). The roles of relational identification, work meaningfulness, and work engagement in the process of transformational leadership. *Leadership Quarterly*, 30(3), 423–436. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2019.03.001>
- Singh, A., & Hess, T. (2017). How chief digital officers promote digital transformation: Theoretical insights and lessons learned from German cases. *Journal of Information Technology*, 32(4), 307–326. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41265-017-0046-0>
- Susanti, D., Zuraik, A., & Kelly, P. (2023). Digital leadership and transformation: A review and research agenda. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 191, 122–155.
- Sutanto, J. E., & Troena, E. A. (2020). Transformational leadership and organizational agility: The mediating role of dynamic capabilities. *International Journal of Business and Society*, 21(2), 667–684. <https://doi.org/10.33736/ijbs.3271.2020>
- Teece, D. J. (2018). Dynamic capabilities as (workable) management systems theory. *Journal of Management*

- & Organization*, 24(3), 359–368.
- Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509–533.
- Trist, E., & Bamforth, K. (1951). Some social and psychological consequences of the longwall method of coal-getting. *Human Relations*, 4(1), 3–38.
- van Knippenberg, D., & Sitkin, S. B. (2013). A critical assessment of charismatic – transformational leadership research: Back to the drawing board? *Academy of Management Annals*, 7(1), 1–60. <https://doi.org/10.5465/19416520.2013.759433>
- Zuraik, A., & Kelly, L. (2019). The role of transformational leadership in innovation. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 40(2), 213–230.